

DETERMINATION OF PARAMETER R_a FOR THE METHODS OF FINISHING MACHINING

Rastislav Bartos, Augustin Gorog

Slovak University of Technology,
Faculty of Materials Science and Technology in Trnava,
Institute of Production Technologies, Department of Machining,
Assembly and Forming
Trnava, Slovak Republic

e-mail: rastislav.bartos@stuba.sk

Theoretical determination of surface roughness R_a parameter for methods of machining tool with undefined geometry is complex. Paper presents an analysis of possible relationships to calculate the roughness parameters R_a finishing with rotating parts. Based on the selection of variables involved, wanted variables and factors to establish differential equation. The equation contains in addition of the factors also the constant, which must be established experimentally. For this case, it is appropriate to use the method of planned experiment, which would be extended to a new method for determining of various mathematical relations for R_a . The presented methodology can be applied to the determination of R_a with other methods of finishing machining, especially with the grinding.

Keywords:

Roughness, Experiment, Differential equation, Machining, Grinding

1. Introduction

A roughness of the surface after superfinishing and sharpening is one of the most important achieved parameters. A roughness has its own parameters also and the most widely used, and therefore the most important is the arithmetic mean deviation of the profile of the finished surface, i. e., the parameter R_a .

In the superfinishing the surface morphology and hence the parameter R_a are influenced especially by the time of the superfinishing t_r , the pressure of the instrument (a superfinishing stone) on the workpiece p_s and the speed ratio g (the ratio of the speed of an oscillating motion of the superfinishing tool to the peripheral speed of the workpiece). The granularity of the superfinishing stone we neglect, because if the process of the superfinishing is divided into roughing and finishing, the formula for the both cases would have to be derived. However, if we focus on the continuous superfinishing (the workpiece passes under roughing and finishing stones on one track) only one equation is set for the calculation of R_a with the respect to the granularity of roughing and finishing stones.

In the sharpening the parameter R_a is influenced mainly by the time of sharpening t_r and by the pressure of the tool on the workpiece p_s .

2. The source equations for the determination of the parameter R_a .

Actually the time decrease of the roughness is a negative first derivation of the parameter R_a according to the time of superfinishing – $\frac{dR_a}{dt_r}$ [2008] [Skocovsky 1977]. This time decrease of the roughness parameter R_a may be proportional to the roughness itself, which is determined by the parameter R_a and so

$$-\frac{dR_a}{dt_r} = a \cdot R_a \quad (1)$$

The solution of the differential equation [Liska, 2009] (1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dR_a}{R_a} &= -a \cdot dt_r \\ \ln R_a &= -a \cdot t_r + C \\ R_a &= e^{-a \cdot t_r + C} \\ R_a &= e^C \cdot e^{-a \cdot t_r} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where a is the proportionality constant, C is the integration constant, C_{R_a} ($C_{R_a} =$ is the constant having a value of the initial roughness in superfinishing (at $t_r=0$) R_{a_p}). The equation (2) is replaced by

$$R_a = R_{a_p} \cdot e^{-a \cdot t_r} \quad (3)$$

If we will consider that the time of superfinishing is also proportional to the decrease of the roughness parameter R_a [Siketova 2009] [Trent 1979], we get the basic equation

$$-\frac{dR_a}{dt_r} = (k_1 + k_2 t_r) R_a, \quad (4)$$

after the substitution of k_1, k_2 by proportionality constants a_1, a_2 , we get the equation

$$R_a = C_{R_a} \cdot e^{-a_1 \cdot t_r - a_2 \cdot t_r^2}, \quad (5)$$

where C_{R_a}, a_1, a_2 are constants. Based on the fact that the pressure increase $\frac{dp_s}{dt_r}$ and the increase of the speed ratio $\frac{dg}{dt_r}$ will be partially proportional to the decrease of roughness, one can write differential equation in the form

$$-\frac{dR_a}{dt_r} = \left(a_1 + a_2 \frac{dp_s}{dt_r} + a_3 \frac{dg}{dt_r} \right) R_a \quad (6)$$

By the following modification one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \ln R_a &= -a_1 t_r - a_2 p_s - a_3 g + C \\ R_a &= C_{R_a} \cdot e^{-a_1 t_r - a_2 p_s - a_3 g} [\mu m] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The relevant differential equation for our searching factors will be in the form

$$-\frac{dR_a}{dt_r} = \left(\frac{a_1}{t_r} + \frac{a_2 dp_s}{p_s dt_r} + \frac{a_3 dg}{g dt_r} \right) R_a, \quad (8)$$

Its subsequent modification is the equation for the roughness R_a

$$R_a = C_{R_a} \cdot t_r^{-a_1} \cdot p_s^{-a_2} \cdot g^{-a_3} [\mu m] \quad (9)$$

Last equation is dimensionally non-homogeneous, but after the completion of etalon variables which are in the center of the schedule of the experiment plan [Lipa 2012], we

$$R_a = C'_{R_a} \cdot \left(\frac{t_r}{t_{r0}} \right)^{-a_1} \cdot \left(\frac{p_s}{p_{s0}} \right)^{-a_2} \cdot \left(\frac{g}{g_0} \right)^{-a_3}, [\mu m] \quad (10)$$

where t_{r0}, p_{s0}, g_0 are etalon variables.

The equations (9) and (10) have the disadvantage that they are not valid for the initial conditions $t_r=0, p_s=0, g=0$. Therefore for the determination of the roughness parameter R_a , the differential equation of the increase is used

$$R_a = (R_{a_p} - R_{a_m}) e^{-at_r} + R_{a_m}, [\mu m] \quad (11)$$

where R_{a_p} is the input value of R_a before superfinishing, R_{a_m} is the limit value of R_a achievable by superfinishing and a is a constant. This equation one can extend to the following form

$$R_a = (R_{a_p} - R_{a_m}) e^{-a \cdot t_r - b p_s - c g} + R_{a_m}, [\mu m] \quad (12)$$

where b, c are constants.

3. Methodology of the original schedule of the experiment for the determination of constants for different fundamental equations for Ra.

The methodology concerns for the schedule of the linear model

$$v = a_0 + a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + a_3 u_3 \quad (13)$$

The quadratic dependences one can change to linear models by the transformations. For the transformation of the linear model to required formulae, we again use the transformation equations. These equations we substitute into the linear model (13).

Transformation No.1

$$v = \ln y, u_1 = z_1, u_2 = z_2, u_3 = z_3 \quad (14)$$

one can get the exponential formula

$$\begin{aligned} \ln y &= a_0 + a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 z_3 \\ y &= e^{a_0 + a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 z_3} \\ y &= C e^{a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 z_3} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Transformation No.2

$$v = \ln y, u_1 = \ln z_1, u_2 = \ln z_2, u_3 = \ln z_3 \quad (16)$$

one can get the power equation

$$y = C \cdot z_1^{a_1} \cdot z_2^{a_2} \cdot z_3^{a_3} \quad (17)$$

Transformation No.3

$$v = \frac{1}{y}, u_1 = z_1, u_2 = z_2, u_3 = z_3 \quad (18)$$

one can get

$$y = \frac{1}{a_0 + a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 z_3} \quad (19)$$

Transformation No.4

$$v = \frac{1}{y}, u_1 = \frac{1}{z_1}, u_2 = \frac{1}{z_2}, u_3 = \frac{1}{z_3} \quad (20)$$

one can get

$$y = \frac{z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3}{a_0 z_1 z_2 z_3 + a_1 z_2 z_3 + a_2 z_1 z_3 + a_3 z_1 z_2} \quad (21)$$

Transformation No.5

$$v = y, u_1 = \ln z_1, u_2 = \ln z_2, u_3 = \ln z_3 \quad (22)$$

one can get logarithmic equation

$$y = a_0 + a_1 \ln z_1 + a_2 \ln z_2 + a_3 \ln z_3 \quad (23)$$

Transformation No.6

$$v = y, u_1 = \frac{1}{z_1}, u_2 = \frac{1}{z_2}, u_3 = \frac{1}{z_3} \quad (24)$$

one can get

$$y = a_0 + a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 z_3 \quad (25)$$

Transformation No.7

$$v = \frac{1}{y}, u_1 = \ln z_1, u_2 = \ln z_2, u_3 = \ln z_3 \quad (26)$$

one can get

$$y = \frac{1}{a_0 + a_1 \ln z_1 + a_2 \ln z_2 + a_3 \ln z_3} \quad (27)$$

Transformation No.8

$$v = \ln y, u_1 = \frac{1}{z_1}, u_2 = \frac{1}{z_2}, u_3 = \frac{1}{z_3} \quad (28)$$

one can get

$$y = e^{a_0 + \frac{a_1}{z_1} + \frac{a_2}{z_2} + \frac{a_3}{z_3}} \quad (29)$$

Transformation No.9 – in the case, that the angle of a rotation of the workpiece is equivalent to the involved quantities

$$v = y, u_1 = \operatorname{tg} z_1, u_2 = \operatorname{tg} z_2, u_3 = \operatorname{tg} z_3 \quad (30)$$

one can get

$$y = a_0 + a_1 \operatorname{tg} z_1 + a_2 \operatorname{tg} z_2 + a_3 \operatorname{tg} z_3 \quad (31)$$

Transformation No.10

$$v = \operatorname{tg} y, u_1 = z_1, u_2 = z_2, u_3 = z_3 \quad (32)$$

one can get

$$y = \operatorname{arctg}(a_0 + a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 z_3) \quad (33)$$

The searched quantity: $y = Ra$

The factors: $z_1 = t_r, z_2 = p_s, z_3 = g$

For the help with the calculations in the planned experiment the coded factors are used in the basic equations.

$$x_1 = \frac{2(z_1 - z_{1\min})}{z_{1\max} - z_{1\min}} + 1, x_2 = \frac{2(z_2 - z_{2\max})}{z_{2\max} - z_{2\min}} + 1, x_3 = \frac{2(z_3 - z_{3\max})}{z_{3\max} - z_{3\min}} + 1 \quad (34)$$

Rationalized basic equations contain besides the coded factors also the etalon values from the center of the experiment plan (z_{10}, z_{20}, z_{30})

$$x_1 = \frac{2(\frac{z_1 - z_{1\max}}{z_{10}} - \frac{z_{1\min}}{z_{10}})}{\frac{z_{1\max}}{z_{10}} - \frac{z_{1\min}}{z_{10}}} + 1, x_2 = \frac{2(\frac{z_2 - z_{2\max}}{z_{20}} - \frac{z_{2\min}}{z_{20}})}{\frac{z_{2\max}}{z_{20}} - \frac{z_{2\min}}{z_{20}}} + 1, x_3 = \frac{2(\frac{z_3 - z_{3\max}}{z_{30}} - \frac{z_{3\min}}{z_{30}})}{\frac{z_{3\max}}{z_{30}} - \frac{z_{3\min}}{z_{30}}} + 1 \quad (35)$$

For obtaining of further coded factors we use the transformation equations. The example of the obtaining of coded factors using transformation equations (16) is as follows

$$x_1 = \frac{2(\ln z_1 - \ln z_{1\max})}{\ln z_{1\max} - \ln z_{1\min}} + 1, x_2 = \frac{2(\ln z_2 - \ln z_{2\max})}{\ln z_{2\max} - \ln z_{2\min}} + 1, x_3 = \frac{2(\ln z_3 - \ln z_{3\max})}{\ln z_{3\max} - \ln z_{3\min}} + 1 \quad (36)$$

If we want the dimensional homogeneous equations, we must rationalize the coded factors according to the equations (35).

For the resulting equation of the parameter Ra we need to use regression equation in the form

$$y = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 [-] \quad (37)$$

where are regression coefficients, are etalon factors.

After achievement of the coded factors we can compile the relevant table with the help of planning of experiments. On the basis of three factors we choose three-factor experiment with the number of observations $N=8$. For each factor we choose the lower level $Z_{1\min}, Z_{2\min}, Z_{3\min}$, the upper level $Z_{1\max}, Z_{2\max}, Z_{3\max}$, and for the rationalized equations or for the testing j the medium level Z_{10}, Z_{20}, Z_{30} . The table has the form

Number of observations <i>i</i>	z_1 resp. $\frac{z_1}{z_{10}}$	$\frac{1}{z_1}$	lnz_1	tgz_1	z_2 resp. $\frac{z_2}{z_{20}}$	$\frac{1}{z_2}$	lnz_2	tgz_2	z_3 resp. $\frac{z_3}{z_{30}}$	$\frac{1}{z_3}$	lnz_3	tgz_3
1-8												
$\sum N = 8$												

<i>i</i>	<i>y</i>	$\frac{1}{y}$	lny	tgy	x_0	x_1	x_2	x_3	$x_1; y_i$	$x_2; y_i$	$x_3; y_i$
1-8											
$\sum N = 8$											

<i>i</i>	$x_1; \frac{1}{y_i}$	$x_2; \frac{1}{y_i}$	$x_3; \frac{1}{y_i}$	$x_1; lny_i$	$x_2; lny_i$	$x_3; lny_i$	$x_1; tgy_i$	$x_2; tgy_i$	$x_3; tgy_i$
1-8									
$\sum N = 8$									

Table 1. Three-factor experiment – first part, second part and third part

After the filling Table 1, we calculate column totals in required columns and we calculate the regression coefficients according the formula

$$b_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum x_i; y_i [-] \quad (38)$$

Into the regression equation (37) we substitute regression coefficients and coded factors according to equation (34). By its following treatment we get the desired equation.

Conclusion

The evaluation of experimental data, it is sometimes very difficult. In search of mathematical formulas depending on the wanted values of input factors used linear model. Often it is not possible to use directly. Therefore, it is necessary to make a non-linear transformation of the linear model.

This article analyzes the possible shapes of equations for the mean arithmetic deviation of profile surface roughness in superfinishing a number of new relationships for surface roughness parameter Ra. These were created by applying various transformations. It can be used for experimental determination of mathematical formulas to calculate the surface roughness Ra parameter, using the theoretical background and method of experiment planning.

The article brings original (unpublished) results. The methodology was designed for superfinishing technology. However, it can be easily applied to determine the mathematical relationship of parameter Ra input factors for other machining technology. It is also possible to apply the methodology for determining the mathematical dependence of cutting force, cutting temperature and other parameters of the input factors in a variety of machining.

Acknowledgements

This article was written for grant project VEGA 1/0615/12 Influence of 5-axis grinding parameters on shank cutter's geometric accuracy, which can be methodologically useful.

References

- [Lipa 2008] Lipa, Z., Baranek, I., Moravcikova, J. Contribution to the Roughness Determining of Machined Surface by the Superfinishing. In: Research papers MTF STU, 2008, vol. 1, p. 111–117 (in Slovak)
- [Lipa 2012] Lipa, Z., Gorog, A., Bartos, R., Kolnik, M. The research of a surface roughness after the superfinishing. In: International Doctoral Seminar 2012: Proceeding, Smolenice Castle, SR, May 20–22, 2012., Trnava: AlumniPress, 2012, p. 288–294 ISBN 978-80-8096–164-0
- [Liska 2009] Liska, J., Lipa, Z., Baranek, I., Siketova, K. Superfinishing theory. In: Annals of DAAAM and Proceedings of DAAAM Symposium, Vienna 2009, p. 1297–1298
- [Siketova 2009] Siketova, K., Lipa, Z., Baranek, I., Liska, J. Position of the Superfinishing in the finish machining. In: Annals of DAAAM and Proceeding of DAAAM Symposium, Vienna 2009, p. 1299–1300
- [Skocovsky 1977] Skocovsky, M. et all. Superfinishing interior and exterior surfaces, Proceeding, DTČSVTS Brno 1977
- [Trent 1979] Trent, E. M. Metal Cutting, Butterworth Publishers LTD, London-Breton 1979

Contacts

Rastislav Bartos, MSc.
Slovak University of Technology,
Faculty of Materials Science and Technology in Trnava,
Institute of Production Technologies, Department of Machining,
Assembly and Forming
J. Bottu 25, 917 24 Trnava, Slovak Republic
tel.: +421 906 068 367, e-mail: rastislav.bartos@stuba.sk

assoc. prof. Ing. Augustin Gorog, PhD.
Slovak University of Technology,
Faculty of Materials Science and Technology in Trnava,
Institute of Production Technologies, Department of Machining,
Assembly and Forming
J. Bottu 25, 917 24 Trnava, Slovak Republic
tel.: +421 906 068 316, e-mail: augustin.gorog@stuba.sk