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This paper focuses on mathematical modelling of cutting force with tool wear effect for milling by milling heads. With regard to a small number of experiments with sufficient accuracy, universality, speed and applicability in practice, a mathematical model based on the specific cutting force and cutting area was created. The proposed mathematical model is more refined than other models owing to more accurate calculation of the specific cutting force and cutting area. The calculation of the specific cutting force is more precise due to consideration of the influence of the cutting speed, more accurate calculation of the undeformed chip thickness and implementation of the correction factor of the tool flank wear, which has a significant impact on the cutting force. The calculation of the cutting area is achieved through more accurate calculation of the chip width as well as undeformed chip thickness. The chip width and undeformed chip thickness are refined by consideration of the straight and rounded parts of the cutting edge. For the proposed mathematical model, material constants for the workpiece material made of DIN C45 steel were obtained.
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1 INTRODUCTION

This article is a follow-up to the previous article which is listed in the references [Kovalčík 2014]. In that article, some basic techniques and approaches to cutting force modelling were introduced along with their advantages and disadvantages. These methods include:

- FEM modelling and material modelling, see references [Katsuhiro 2005, Roud 2011, Zeman 2005],
- prediction using an artificial neural network, see references [Dobrzanski 2005, Irgolic 2013, Mavı 2016],
- modeling based on empirical equations, see references [Constantin 2013, Starchurski 2012, Zhang 2018],
- modelling based on specific cutting force and cutting area, which are categorized by force model type:
  - linear model, see references [Aksu 2016, Altintas 2012, Vasliko 2007, Vavruska 2018],
  - power model, see references [Degner 2015, Horvath 2017, Tschatzsch 2009, Velchev 2011].

Based on the review, we found that all of the modelling methods contain some limitations and/or simplifications. This fact leads to quite different results in terms of outputs, accuracy, calculation speed, universality and applicability in practice. The most suitable modelling method for the calculation of the cutting force, in my opinion, is a method based on the product of the specific cutting force (k) and cutting area (A₀), where the basic form of the specific cutting force is based on the impact of the undeformed chip thickness (h₀), see equation (1).

\[
F_c = k \cdot h_c \cdot A_D = k \cdot h_c \cdot \left( h_1 - b \right) \cdot b
\]

This method is applicable to machining technologies with tools that have a defined cutting edge geometry, i.e. milling, turning, drilling and boring. In practice, this methodology is used by the world’s leading cutting tool manufacturers in their software applications. These applications are based on mathematical models, which are, however, very simplified, and so significant errors occur during cutting force prediction. In order to predict more precise values of force effects during milling, a more sophisticated mathematical model is needed.

2 PROPOSED CUTTING FORCE MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The basic form of equation (1) can be refined by more accurate calculation of the cutting area (A₀) and the specific cutting force (k). We increase the accuracy of the calculation of the cutting area by making the undeformed chip thickness (h₀) and chip width (b₀) more precise by considering the straight as well as the rounded part of the cutting edge. The specific cutting force calculation will be made more accurate by considering the influence of the cutting speed on the specific cutting force and also by making the aforementioned undeformed chip thickness more precise.

The proposed mathematical model will be applicable to cutting tool inserts of both circular and non-circular cross-sections (square, triangular cross-section). If a cutting tool insert has a circular cross-section, the mathematical model will work with the R value equal to half of the diameter of the cutting tool insert (D₀/2). If a cutting tool insert has a non-circular cross-section, the mathematical model will work with the R value equal to the corner radius (r₁).

2.1 Calculation of the chip width and actual value of the undeformed chip thickness

To calculate the actual and mean cutting force values, it is necessary to propose equations for the chip width and the actual value of the undeformed chip thickness, when acting on the rounded as well as the straight part of the cutting edge. The calculation of the actual value of the undeformed chip thickness is based on the division of the actual value of the cutting area and chip width; see equation (2).

\[
h_D(\varphi) = A_D(\varphi) / b_D
\]

The first step is to calculate the actual value of the cutting area. In order to do that, the cutting area in a given engagement angle was divided into two areas – the area where only the straight part of the cutting edge acts, i.e. A₀₁(\varphi), and the area where only the rounded part of the cutting edge acts, i.e. A₀₀(\varphi); see Figure 1. The actual value of the cutting area is then given by the sum of the two areas; see equation (3).

\[
A_D(\varphi) = A_D₁(\varphi) + A_D₀(\varphi)
\]
The chip width is calculated based on the sum of the chip width of the straight and rounded parts; see equation (4).

\[ b_{D1}(\varphi) = b_{D1}(\varphi) + b_{D2}(\varphi) \]  

**Figure 1. Cutting area for a given actual engagement angle**

**Straight part of the cutting edge**

The axial depth of cut can be set so that it is machined either by only the rounded part of the cutting edge or the rounded as well as the straight part of the cutting edge. If the condition \( a_p \leq R \cdot (1 - \cos \kappa_r) \) is met, it is machined only by the rounded part of the cutting edge. In this case, the undeformed chip thickness, chip width as well as the cutting area of the straight part of the cutting edge are equal to 0. If the previously mentioned condition is not met, it is machined by the rounded as well as the straight part of the cutting edge. For this case, we have to define the equations for calculating the undeformed chip thickness, chip width and cutting area of the straight part of the cutting edge.

According to Figure 1, the actual value of the undeformed chip thickness of the straight part of the cutting edge is calculated based on equation (5). The chip width of the straight part of the cutting edge is calculated by equation (6). Based on equations (5) and (6), the equation for the actual cutting area of the straight part of the cutting edge is obtained; see equation (7).

\[ h_{D1}(\varphi) = f_z \cdot \sin \varphi \cdot \sin \kappa_r \]  

\[ b_{D1} = \frac{a_p - R \cdot (1 - \cos \kappa_r)}{\sin \kappa_r} \]  

\[ A_{D1}(\varphi) = h_{D1}(\varphi) \cdot b_{D1} = f_z \cdot \sin \varphi \cdot \left[ a_p - R \cdot (1 - \cos \kappa_r) \right] \]  

** Rounded part of the cutting edge**

The rounded part of the cutting edge always cuts the workpiece material differently than the straight part. According to Figure 1, the actual undeformed chip thickness of the rounded part of the cutting edge is calculated based on equation (8). The element of the chip width of the rounded part of the cutting edge is calculated based on the radius (R) and the element of the acting angle of the rounded part of the cutting edge (\( \theta \)); see equation (9). Based on equations (8) and (9), the equation for the actual value of the element of the cutting area of the rounded part of the cutting edge is obtained; see equation (10).

\[ h_{D2}(\varphi, \theta) = f_z \cdot \sin \varphi \cdot \sin \theta \]  

\[ \frac{\text{d}b_{D2}}{\text{d}\theta} = R \cdot \text{d}\theta \]  

\[ \frac{\text{d}A_{D2}(\varphi, \theta)}{\text{d}\theta} = h_{D2}(\varphi, \theta) \cdot \text{d}b_{D2} = f_z \cdot \sin \varphi \cdot R \cdot \sin \theta \cdot \text{d}\theta \]  

After the integration of equations (9) and (10) within the starting (\( \theta_{start} \)) and ending (\( \theta_{end} \)) angles of acting the rounded part of the cutting edge, the equations for the chip width and actual value of the rounded part of the cutting edge are obtained; see equations (11) and (12).

\[ b_{D2} = R \cdot (\theta_{end} - \theta_{start}) \]  

\[ A_{D2}(\varphi) = f_z \cdot \sin \varphi \cdot R \cdot (\cos \theta_{start} - \cos \theta_{end}) \]  

The starting angle of the action of the rounded part of the cutting edge (\( \theta_{start} \)) is calculated by equation (13). The ending angle of the action of the rounded part of the cutting edge (\( \theta_{end} \)) is calculated based on the condition of whether only the rounded part of the cutting edge cuts the workpiece material, or both the straight and the rounded parts cut the workpiece; see equation (14).

\[ \theta_{start} = -\arcsin \frac{f_z}{2 \cdot R} \]  

\[ \theta_{end} = \begin{cases} \kappa_r \cdot \frac{a_p - R}{R} \quad \text{for} \quad a_p > R \cdot (1 - \cos \kappa_r) \\ \arcsin \frac{f_z}{2 \cdot R} \quad \text{for} \quad a_p \leq R \cdot (1 - \cos \kappa_r) \end{cases} \]  

**2.2 Calculation of the actual value of the cutting force**

The calculation of the actual value of the cutting force is important for plotting the cutting force over time in a certain interval, as well as for the calculation of the mean value of the cutting force, since the mean value is based on the integration of the function of the actual cutting force within the starting and ending engagement angles. The actual values of the cutting force in one revolution for full immersion milling with three teeth in engagement plotted on Figure 2.

**Figure 2. Actual values of the cutting force in one revolution for full immersion milling with three teeth in engagement: total cutting force (blue curve), cutting force per 1 tooth (red curve).**

The value of the total cutting force at each engagement angle \( F_z(\varphi) \) is given by the sum of the cutting forces acting on the individual teeth of the cutting tool; see equation (15).

\[ F_z(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} F_{z1z}(\varphi, \varphi) \]  

The value of the cutting force per tooth at each engagement angle is calculated based on the product of the actual value of the specific cutting force and cutting area, where the cutting area is the product of the undeformed chip thickness and chip width; see equation (16).

\[ F_{z1z}(\varphi, \varphi) = k_c(\varphi, \varphi) \cdot h_{D1}(\varphi, \varphi) \cdot b_{D1} \]  

The actual value of the specific cutting force is calculated based on equation (17), which includes the impact of the undeformed chip thickness (the basic form of the equation) as well as the impact of the cutting speed (the added part of the equation).

\[ k_c(\varphi, \varphi) = k_{c1z} \cdot h_{D1}(\varphi, \varphi) \cdot \left( \frac{V_c}{V_{cut}} \right)^{m_{c2z}} \]
The final equation for the calculation of the cutting force per tooth for a given engagement angle is calculated according to equation (18).

\[ F_{c,m} = K_{c1.1} \cdot h_D(\varphi) \cdot \left( \frac{v_c}{v_{def}} \right)^{m_c} \cdot b_D \]  

(18)

The actual value of the engagement angle of the i-th tooth is calculated based on the rotation angle of the cutting tool (\( \varphi \)) and the angle between teeth (\( \varphi_i \)); see equations (19) and (20).

\[ \varphi_i = \begin{cases} \varphi - \varphi_{i-1} & \text{if } \varphi_i \leq \varphi_{st} \\
0 & \text{otherwise} \\
\varphi_{st} & \text{if } \varphi_i \geq \varphi_{st} \end{cases} \]  

(19)

\[ \varphi_{st} = \frac{2 \cdot \pi}{Z} \]  

(20)

### 2.3 Calculation of the mean value of the cutting force

To calculate the mean value of the cutting force for 2 teeth in engagement per revolution, the mean cutting force per tooth must be calculated first. For this calculation we will work with the general formula for the mean value of the function; see equation (21) on the left [Kolar 2016, Kopacek 2004]. The mean value of the cutting force per tooth is calculated using the basic formula of the mean value of a function based on the integration of the actual cutting force function per tooth within the starting and ending engagement angles; see equation (21) on the right.

\[ \Gamma = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \rightarrow F_{mean} = \frac{1}{\varphi_{end} - \varphi_{st}} \int_{\varphi_{st}}^{\varphi_{end}} F_{c,i} d\varphi \]  

(21)

The mean value of the cutting force per tooth mentioned above is shown in Figure 3 with the actual value of the cutting force per tooth.

![Figure 3. Actual value of the cutting force per tooth (bold red) and mean value of the cutting force per tooth (blue).](image)

After the substitution of the equation for the actual cutting force per tooth, the final form of the equation for the mean cutting force per tooth is obtained; see equation (22).

\[ F_{c,mean} = \frac{1}{\varphi_{end} - \varphi_{st}} \int_{\varphi_{st}}^{\varphi_{end}} \left( \frac{v_c}{v_{def}} \right)^{m_c} \cdot b_D \int_{\varphi_{st}}^{\varphi_{end}} h_D(\varphi)^{m_h} d\varphi \]  

(22)

The integral in the previous equation has no simple analytical solution. The solution is to use software such as Matlab.

### 2.4 Starting and ending engagement angles

The starting (\( \varphi_{st} \)) and ending (\( \varphi_{end} \)) engagement angles depend on the milling strategy. There are 3 types of strategies for milling heads: full immersion, face milling and side milling (up-milling and down-milling). The equations for the calculation of the starting and ending engagement angles for these strategies are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milling strategy</th>
<th>Starting angle</th>
<th>Ending angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full immersion</td>
<td>( \varphi_{st} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \varphi_{end} = \pi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face milling</td>
<td>( \varphi_{st} = \arccos \left( \frac{2 \cdot a \cdot b}{D} \right) )</td>
<td>( \varphi_{end} = \pi - \arccos \left( \frac{2 \cdot a \cdot b}{D} \right) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side down milling</td>
<td>( \varphi_{st} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \varphi_{end} = \pi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side up milling</td>
<td>( \varphi_{st} = \arccos \left( \frac{2 \cdot a \cdot b}{D} \right) )</td>
<td>( \varphi_{end} = \pi - \arccos \left( \frac{2 \cdot a \cdot b}{D} \right) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Equations for calculating the starting and ending engagement angles for different milling strategies.

### 3 Obtaining material constants for the proposed mathematical model

In the previous chapter, a mathematical model for calculating the specific cutting force (\( k_c \)) with the influence of the cutting speed (\( v_c \)) was proposed. There are three constants in the equation that have to be determined for each workpiece material. The material constant \( k_{c1.1} \) is a specific cutting force for a cutting area of 1 mm\(^2\) (h=b=1mm) and for the default value of the cutting speed \( v_{c1.1} \). The cutting speed \( v_c \) is the cutting speed for which the specific cutting force is calculated. The material constant \( m_c \) determines the effect of the undeformed chip thickness \( h_0 \) on the specific cutting force \( k_c \). The constant \( m_h \) determines the influence of the cutting speed on the specific cutting force. To obtain these three constants, it is necessary to propose appropriate experiments.

### 3.1 Preparation of experiments

These experiments were performed on DIN C45 steel with a measured hardness of 200 HV 10. The chemical composition was measured using EDS analysis: 0.41% Si, 0.29% Cr, 0.8% Mn and 0.2 % Mo. The sulphur and phosphorus contents were below the detected values. It was not possible to determine the carbon content through EDS analysis.

A SECO R220.17-0125-22 cutting tool with a TPKN2204PPR-M14 uncoated cutting tool insert was used to determine the material constants; see Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutting tool diameter (D)</th>
<th>125 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead angle (( \beta ))</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination angle (( \gamma_a ))</td>
<td>0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take angle after inserting the CT (( \tau_b ))</td>
<td>0°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Parameters of the SECO R220.17-0125-22 cutting tool

Table 3 shows the percentage composition of the core and surface elements of the TPKN2204PPR-M14 cutting tool insert.
Table 3. Percentage composition of the TPXN2204PPR-M14 insert.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tungsten carbide (WC) [%]</th>
<th>Cobalt (Co) [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>W [%]</td>
<td>C [%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74.59</td>
<td>16.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although the producer of this cutting tool insert does not recommend it for cutting steel workpieces, it was used for these reasons: zero rake angle, no chip breaker, no chamfer and cutting tool with zero inclination angle. This enabled material constants to be determined relatively accurately.

The experiments were carried out at the Research Institute of Textile Machinery in Liberec (VUTS Liberec), Czech Republic, on a WHN 13 CNC horizontal milling and boring machine made by the company TOS Varnsdorf, Czech Republic.

3.2 Force measurement and cutting force evaluation

A 9255B stationary piezoelectric dynamometer was used to measure forces (F_x, F_y, F_z) in milling; see Figure 4.

First of all, it was necessary to transfer the measured forces from the dynamometer coordinate system (the F_x, F_y forces) to the cutting tool coordinate system (the F_z force) at each engagement angle. In order to obtain the equation for the cutting force calculation we use Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Force decomposition in face milling strategy by a milling head.](image)

Based on Figure 4, the cutting force is calculated using equation (23). In this equation, there is the active force F_a(φ) and the angle between the F_a(φ) and F_z(φ) forces, i.e. α(φ). These values are calculated using equations (24) and (25).

\[
\begin{align*}
F_a(\phi) &= F_z(\phi) \cdot \sin(\pi - \phi - \alpha(\phi)) \\
F_z(\phi) &= \sqrt{F_x^2(\phi) + F_y^2(\phi)} \\
\alpha(\phi) &= \arctg \frac{F_x(\phi)}{F_y(\phi)}
\end{align*}
\]

After we had the cutting force in time, the mean value of the cutting force per engagement in a selected interval was evaluated. For this purpose, a software application in Matlab was created. This software automatically found the starting and ending points of each engagement and the angle φ as interpolated within these engagement angles.

3.3 Proposed measurement methodology

In order to create a mathematical model that would be applicable to cutting tools with different geometries, more precisely, with different corner radii, we decided to cut the workpiece material without the effect of the corner radius. For this purpose, a sample with a fixture was designed; see Figure 5. The sample has a rectangular cross-section with dimensions of 100 x 45 mm (length x width) and various thicknesses (see Table 4, depth of cut values). For this sample, a fixture was proposed that would work well with the sample in every measurement, because after each measurement the sample had to be moved forward.

![Figure 5. The proposed fixture with a workpiece placed on the KISTLER 9255b dynamometer.](image)

3.4 Design of experiments

In order to design the experiments, central composite planning was used. Various software (Statistica, Design of experts, Minitab) enables this type of experiment planning. The general scheme of the composite experiment planning for three factors is shown in Figure 6a.

The total number of points is given by the equation \(2^3 + 2 \times 3 + m\), so for 3 factors, there are \(14 + m\) points, where \(m\) is the number of center points. The minimum number of center points is 0, so the minimum total number of points is 14. For these experiments, 4 center points were chosen, so the total number of points is 18, which means 18 experiments.

![Figure 6. a) General scheme of central composite planning of experiments for three factors; b) General proposal of experiments for three factors with three center points.](image)
Based on the methodology described in Chapter 3.2, the mean values of the cutting force from the measured force signals were evaluated. Each experiment was measured three times and from the three values, the mean value was obtained (\( F_i \)) with the standard deviation(s); see Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>( a_0 ) [mm]</th>
<th>( f_z ) [mm]</th>
<th>( v_c ) [m/min]</th>
<th>( F_c ) [N]</th>
<th>( s ) [N]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1223.5</td>
<td>10.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1542.7</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1810.2</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1093.4</td>
<td>7.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>2345.9</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1413.8</td>
<td>10.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1363.9</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>2042.9</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1388.6</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1916.3</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1182.4</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2057.4</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1879.4</td>
<td>10.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1470.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1583.0</td>
<td>5.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1595.1</td>
<td>13.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1598.6</td>
<td>12.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1595.0</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Evaluation of the measured experiments.

The mean value of the standard deviation is 5.6 N with a range of 0.13 to 13.48 N. The highest standard deviation values were found at the midpoint (experiments 15 to 18) for experiments 16 and 17, for which the mean values of the cutting force were not very different from experiments 15 and 18, for which much smaller standard deviations were found. All mean values of cutting forces evaluated from experimental measurements were used to find material constants in Chapter 3.6.

### 3.6 Obtaining material constants

**Principle of obtaining material constants**

To obtain values of the specific cutting force, we should use the same equation that is used for the calculation of the cutting force, but in this case, the specific cutting force is not a function, but a constant that we want to get from each experiment; see equation (26).

\[
F_{c_{avg}} = \frac{1}{\varphi_{avg}} \cdot k_c \cdot f_z \cdot a_p \cdot (\cos \varphi_d - \cos \varphi_{end})
\]  

Based on the previous equation, the specific cutting force is calculated according to equation (27).

\[
k_c = \frac{F_{c_{avg}} \cdot \varphi_{avg}}{f_z \cdot a_p \cdot (\cos \varphi_d - \cos \varphi_{end})}
\]

As seen in the previous equation, the mean value of the undeformed chip thickness is given by equation (28).

\[
h_{c_{avg}} = f_z \cdot (\cos \varphi_d - \cos \varphi_{end})
\]

After the values of the specific cutting forces were calculated by equation (27), these values were entered as an output parameter into the Minotab software and the input parameters were: chip width (\( b_0 \)), which is, in this case, equal to the axial depth of cut (\( a_0 \)), the mean value of the undeformed chip thickness (\( h_{c_{avg}} \)), as calculated by equation (28), and the cutting speed (\( v_c \)). In the Minotab software, a non-linear regression was selected with the equation of the specific cutting force with the influence of the cutting speed. All three material constants were thus determined.

### Obtaining material constants for C45 steel

Based on this approach, by using the Minotab software, material constants were determined for C45 steel, so the mathematical model for the specific cutting force could be proposed; see equation (29).

\[
k_c = k_{d1} \cdot h_d^{-m_1} \left( \frac{v_c}{V_{f_{avg}}} \right)^{-m_2} = 1048 \cdot h_d^{-0.38} \left( \frac{v_c}{200} \right)^{-0.179}
\]

The value of the determination index (\( R^2 \)) of the obtained mathematical model is 94.88% and the value of the adjusted determination index (\( R^2 \text{ Adj} \)) is 94.19%.

Figure 7 shows the dependence of the calculated specific cutting force on the feed per tooth in the range of feeds per tooth from 0.09 to 0.22 mm for 5 values of the cutting speeds in the range from 100 to 300 m/min used for the experiments.

4 **VERIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED MATHEMATICAL MODEL**

In Chapter 2, a mathematical model was proposed which refines the calculation of the cutting force by more accurate calculation of the specific cutting force and cutting area. The calculation of the specific cutting force was refined by considering the influence of the cutting speed as well as by more precise calculation of the undeformed chip thickness. The calculation of the cutting area was refined by more accurate calculation of the chip width as well as the undeformed chip thickness. The chip width and undeformed chip thickness was made more precise by considering both the straight and rounded parts of the cutting edge. For the proposed model, material constants for C45 steel were obtained. The next step was to verify the proposed mathematical model through suitable experiments to show the accuracy of the model.

#### 4.1 Preparation of experiments

The same workpiece which had been used to obtain the material constants was used in this step. The experiments were carried out using the SECO R220.17-0125-22 cutting tool, which is the same cutting tool which was used to obtain the material constants. The difference, however, is that these experiments were performed using the rounded as well as the straight part of the cutting edge. The technical parameters of the used cutting tool and cutting tool insert are summarized in Table 2. The experiments were carried out at the Research Institute of Textile Machinery in Liberec (VUTS Liberec), Czech Republic, on a WHN 13 CNC horizontal milling and boring machine made by the company TOS Varnsdorf, Czech Republic.
4.2 Force measurement and cutting force evaluation

A stationary 9255B piezoelectric dynamometer was used to measure the forces $F_x$, $F_y$ in milling; see Figure 4. The procedure to transfer these forces to the cutting force $F_c$ is described in Chapter 3.2.

4.3 Measurement methodology proposal

In order to verify the mathematical model, experiments were carried out, in this case using a cutting tool with the influence of a rounded cutting edge. The workpiece was fixed on the dynamometer with two screws; see Figure 8.

![Figure 8. Workpiece placed on the Kistler 9255b dynamometer.](image)

For these experiments, we used workpiece samples which were blocks of a rectangular cross-section of different widths (sample size - length x width x height: 120x25x40, 120x35x40 and 120x45x40 mm) in order to verify cutting forces at different radial depth values. When determining the material constants, there was only one radial depth of cut (45 mm). It was machined through face symmetrical milling.

4.4 Experiment design

To design the experiments, Taguchi planning was used for each radial depth of cut, thus for the values of 25, 35 and 45 mm, with the following cutting conditions:

- axial depth of cut: 1, 2 and 3 mm,
- feed per tooth: 0.09, 0.155 and 0.22 mm,
- cutting speed: 100, 200 and 300 m/min.

The proposed experiment plan is shown along with the results in Table 6.

4.5 Evaluation of experiments and verification of the proposed mathematical model

The mean values of the cutting force per engagement were evaluated using the measured force signals. As mentioned above, each experiment was measured twice and from these values, the mean value was determined. Furthermore, the values of cutting forces per engagement were calculated using the proposed mathematical model.

To compare the experimentally determined and calculated values of the cutting force, we used equation (30) which expresses the percentage deviation of both values ($\Delta F_c$).

$$
\Delta F_c = \frac{F_{exp} - F_{mod}}{F_{exp}} \times 100 \quad (30)
$$

Table 6 shows the percentage deviation between the experimentally determined and calculated values. Two mathematical models were used for the verification. The first mathematical model (Model 1) does not include the impact of the corner radius and the second mathematical model (Model 2) does not include the impact of the corner radius.

![Image](image)

Table 6. Experimentally determined and calculated cutting forces and their verification.

The mean value of the percentage deviation ($\Delta F_c$) is 15.1% for the model without consideration of the rounded part of the cutting edge (Model 1) and 2.5% for the model that considers both the rounded and straight parts (Model 2).

The analysis of the two mathematical models also shows that when using Model 2, 59% of all experiments are in the deviation interval of -5 to 5%, unlike Model 1, where only 15% of all experiments are in this deviation interval. In the deviation interval from -10 to 10%, 89% of all experiments are in the interval when using Model 2 and only 37% of all experiments when using Model 1.

The analysis of the two models shows that the model considering the rounded as well as the straight part of the cutting edge is more accurate.

5 GENERALIZATION OF THE PROPOSED MODEL

5.1 Generalization of the mathematical model for cutting tools with a different rake angle

Of the geometrical characteristics of the cutting tool, the orthogonal rake angle ($\gamma_o$) is one of the factors that has a very significant effect on the specific cutting force. Its influence is associated with the primary plastic deformation of the cutting process. The more positive the rake angle is, the smaller the area of primary plastic deformation, and thus the specific cutting force is reduced; see Figure 9. [Madl 1989]

![Figure 9. Dependence of rake angle on: a) the change of the area of primary plastic deformation, b) the specific cutting force (Madl 1989).](image)

The material constants for calculating the specific cutting force are always determined when cutting by using a cutting tool with some value of the rake angle. To predict the cutting force,
To get the correction factor equation, linear regression was used for the data. The obtained equation in Figure 10 shows the following impact of the rake angle on the specific cutting force: if $\Delta \gamma_o$ is equal to +1°, which means that $\gamma_{act}$ is 1° higher than $\gamma_{tool}$, the correction factor decreases by 1%. Thus, equation (31) was confirmed, and based on the verification of the rake angle effect, we can use the correction factor of the rake angle as equation (32) shows.

$$K_{\gamma} = 1 - 0.01 \cdot \Delta \gamma_o$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

The specific cutting force with the rake angle effect is then given by equation (33).

$$k_c = k_{c,1} \cdot h_D^{-m_c} \cdot \left( \frac{v_c}{v_{c,act}} \right)^{-m_c} \cdot (1 - 0.01 \cdot \Delta \gamma_o)$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

### 5.2 Generalization of the mathematical model for cutting tools with a different number of teeth

In Chapter 2, a mathematical model for calculating the mean value of the cutting force per tooth was created. It is now necessary to generalize this model to calculate the mean value of the cutting force per revolution for a different number of teeth in engagement. This value can be used to calculate the mean value of cutting power, machine tool power and torque. The calculated value of the cutting power from the mean value of the cutting force per revolution is also important for calculating the energy consumption of the cutting process.

For the calculation of the mean value of the cutting force for $Z$ teeth in engagement per revolution, the mean cutting force per tooth and number of teeth in contact ($Z_o$) are used; see equations (34) and (35) [Degner 2015, Tuchschat 2009].

$$F_{vm} = Z_c \cdot F_{vm,1} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot Z \cdot Z_o \cdot \psi_{eff} \cdot F_{vm,1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

$$F_{vm} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot k_{c,1} \cdot h_D^{-m_c} \cdot \frac{v_c}{v_{c,act}}^{-m_c} \cdot Z \cdot Z_o \cdot \psi_{eff} \cdot h_D(\rho)_{\psi_{eff}} \cdot d\phi$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

### 6 EFFECT OF TOOL FLANK WEAR ON CUTTING FORCE

#### 6.1 Motivation and principle for refining the model

According to the references [Degner 2015, Madl 1989, Tuchschat 2009, Vasilko 2007], the tool flank wear (VB) has a significant effect on the cutting force and thus the cutting power. The impact of tool flank wear is related to the change of the area of primary plastic deformation. The greater the tool flank wear (VB), the greater the cutting edge radius ($\rho$), thereby the area of primary plastic deformation increases, which causes a higher specific cutting force; see Figure 11.

![Figure 11](image-url)

**Figure 11.** a) Area change of primary plastic deformation, b) Dependence of specific cutting force on tool flank wear [Madl 1989]

The effect of the tool flank wear (VB) on the cutting force is usually expressed by the tool flank wear correction factor ($k_{VB}$). The value of the correction factor for the specific tool flank wear value (VB) is certainly dependent on the specific workpiece material and cutting conditions. The following cutting conditions have the highest impact on tool flank wear:
The material constants for the tool flank wear were obtained for a specific tool flank wear value and different combinations of cutting conditions. To make the correction factor as precise as possible, we would have to conduct many experiments with different combinations of cutting conditions, which would be very time-consuming and quite expensive. However, it is possible to develop a simplified equation, which would express the correction factor only as a function of the tool flank wear by using one set of cutting conditions.

It should be mentioned that the material constants for calculating the specific cutting force (k) are, or should be, obtained by using a new cutting tool insert, therefore the tool flank wear correction factor for this case is 1 (K_{VB}=1). The greater the tool flank wear value, the higher the correction factor.

6.2 Preparation of experiments

The experiments were carried out at the Research Center of Manufacturing Technology, Czech Technical University in Prague, on a MCFS 5050L3 three-axis milling center made by the company ZPS Tajmac, Czech Republic.

A 125B09R-W75512D cutting tool (D=125mm, \(k_{s}=75\degree\), \(\lambda_{s}=0\degree\), \(\gamma_{p}=0\degree\)) with SPKN1203EDSR cutting tool inserts was used for these experiments. The cutting tool inserts were made of carbide and there were three types of PVD coatings. The specific composition of the coatings cannot be published to protect the client's intellectual property.

These experiments were performed on DIN C45 steel with a measured hardness of 200 ± 10 HB. The workpiece material dimensions were 400 x 102 mm (length x width).

The cutting conditions were set with respect to the requirements of the company for which the research was performed: \(v_{c}=240m/min\), \(f_{z}=0.2mm\), \(a_{p}=2mm\), \(a_{p}=102mm\).

6.3 Measurement and evaluation methodology

The aim of the experiments was to measure the tool flank wear (VB) of the SPKN1203EDSR cutting tool inserts in face milling of DIN C45 steel without the use of cutting fluid. For some experiments we also measured the current and voltage with an ammeter and we got the power. To obtain the mean values of the cutting power, the total power (P) and the idle power (P_0) had to be evaluated. The cutting power (P_c) is the difference between these two powers; see Figure 12.

![Figure 12. Evaluation of the cutting power from experimental data](image)

6.4 Evaluation of experiments and determination of equation for the tool flank wear correction factor

Tool flank wear values were obtained for the cutting tool inserts with three types of coatings. Based on these values, the dependence of the tool flank wear on time was plotted; see Figure 13.

![Figure 13. Dependence of the tool flank wear on time for the three types of cutting tool inserts (A, B, C)](image)

As already mentioned, for some tool flank wear values, powers were measured, from which the cutting power was evaluated. Table 8 summarizes the values of cutting power (P_c), tool flank wear (VB) and time (T) for all three cutting tool inserts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutting tool insert</th>
<th>Evaluated values from experimental data</th>
<th>Calculated values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P_c [W]</td>
<td>T [min]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1452</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1441</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1527</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1683</td>
<td>119.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>119.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Evaluated values from experimental data (cutting power [W] and tool flank wear in specific time) and calculated values (cutting power [W] and correction factor of the tool flank wear).

Figure 14 shows the dependence of the correction factor and cutting power on the tool flank wear.

![Figure 14. Dependence of the correction factor (K_{VB}) and cutting power (P_c) on the tool flank wear (VB)](image)

As seen in Figure 14, a linear regression was applied to the measured values. The dependence of the correction factor on the tool flank wear is expressed by equation (36).

\[
K_{VB} = 0.0011 \cdot VB + 1
\]

(36)

The value of the correction factor with the zero value of the tool flank wear is 1. The dependence of the correction factor increases gradually from 1 to 1.27. The cutting power increases by 27% for a tool flank wear of 244 µm.
The specific cutting force equation is then improved by implementing the tool flank wear correction factor; see equation (37), which also shows the correction factor of the rake angle.

\[
k_v = k_{c11} \cdot h_D^{-m_v} \left( \frac{v_c}{v_{cut}} \right)^{m_{c11}} \cdot K_v \cdot K_{VB}
\]  

(37)

Based on equation (35), the dependence of the specific cutting force on feed per tooth for three values of tool flank wear was obtained; see Figure 15. In this case, the value of the parameter \( \Delta \gamma_0 \) is 0°.

7 CONCLUSIONS

The main aim of this article was to propose a mathematical model for cutting force extended by the effect of the tool wear for milling by milling heads.

The first task was to create a mathematical model for the cutting force. We chose to use a method based on the specific cutting force and cutting area. The mathematical model, in comparison with other models, was refined by more accurate calculation of the specific cutting force and cutting area. The calculation of the specific cutting force was refined by considering the influence of the cutting speed as well as by more precise calculation of the undeformed chip thickness. The calculation of the cutting area was refined by more accurate calculation of the chip width as well as of the undeformed chip thickness. The chip width and undeformed chip thickness was made more precise by considering the straight as well as the rounded part of the cutting edge.

The proposed mathematical model of the specific cutting force contains three material constants that had to be determined. The material constant \( k_{c11} \) is a specific cutting force per area of 1 mm² and cutting speed \( v_{cut} \). The constant \( m_v \) determines the effect of the undeformed chip thickness on the specific cutting force. The material constant \( m_v \) determines the influence of the cutting speed on the specific cutting force. To obtain the material constants, a measurement methodology was proposed such that only the straight part of the cutting edge acts when cutting a workpiece material. For this purpose, a sample with a fixture were designed. The material constants were obtained for the workpiece material made of DIN 4545 steel. The value of the determination index \( (R^2) \) of the mathematical model for the specific cutting force was 94.88% and the value of the adjusted determination index \( (R^2 \text{ Adj}) \) was 94.19%.

After obtaining the material constants, it was necessary to verify the mathematical model. In order to verify the calculated values of cutting forces, the percentage deviation of calculated and cutting force values found through the experiments was calculated. The mean value of percentage deviation was 15.1% for the model without considering the rounded part of the cutting edge (Model 1) and 2.5% for the model that considers the rounded and straight parts of the cutting edge (Model 2).

The analysis of both mathematical models also shows that when using Model 2, 59% of all experiments are in the deviation interval from -5 to 5%, unlike Model 1, where only 15% of all experiments are in this deviation interval. When using Model 2, 89% of all experiments are in the deviation interval from -10 to 10%, and only 37% of all experiments when using Model 1. The analysis of both models shows that the model that considers the rounded as well as the straight part of the cutting edge is more accurate.

The next task was to generalize the proposed mathematical model by considering the orthogonal rake angle which has quite a significant impact on the specific cutting force. In the references [Degner 2015, Gazda 1993, Tschatsch 2009] we can find the correction factor of the rake angle, which recalculates the specific cutting force for a cutting tool with different rake angles. The correction factor equation was verified by experimental data obtained from milling a steel with a tensile strength of 750 MPa. After the verification, the equation for the correction factor of the rake angle was obtained and implemented into the specific cutting force equation.

The next task was to refine the proposed mathematical model by considering the tool wear effect. For this task, cutting tool inserts made of carbide with three types of PVD coatings were used. We decided to measure the tool flank wear as well as cutting power. From the measured tool flank wear and cutting power values, the dependence of the correction factor on the tool flank wear was determined and a correction factor equation was obtained. The value of the correction factor with the zero value of the tool flank wear is 1. The correction factor increases gradually from 1 to 1.27. The cutting power increases by 27% for tool flank wear of 244 μm.
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LIST OF SYMBOLS USED IN THIS ARTICLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>Angle between the actual values of the active force and the force in the Y-axis of the dynamometer coordinate system</td>
<td>rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varphi )</td>
<td>Actual value of the engagement angle</td>
<td>rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varphi_{end} )</td>
<td>Ending engagement angle</td>
<td>rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varphi_{eng} )</td>
<td>Engagement angle</td>
<td>rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varphi_{st} )</td>
<td>Starting engagement angle</td>
<td>rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi )</td>
<td>Number between teeth</td>
<td>rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta )</td>
<td>Actual angle of acting the rounded part of the cutting edge</td>
<td>rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_{end} )</td>
<td>Ending angle of acting the rounded part of the cutting edge</td>
<td>rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_{st} )</td>
<td>Starting angle of acting the rounded part of the cutting edge</td>
<td>rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{ct} )</td>
<td>Actual value of the cutting area</td>
<td>mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{cut} )</td>
<td>Actual value of the cutting area of the straight part of the cutting tool edge</td>
<td>mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{cto} )</td>
<td>Actual value of the cutting area of the rounded part of the cutting tool edge</td>
<td>mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_0 )</td>
<td>Depth of cut</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_0 )</td>
<td>Chip width</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_{st} )</td>
<td>Chip width of the straight part of the cutting tool edge</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_{end} )</td>
<td>Chip width of the rounded part of the cutting tool edge</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_{cto} )</td>
<td>Element of the chip width of the rounded part of the cutting tool edge</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_a )</td>
<td>Actual value of the active force</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$F_i(\phi)$ N Actual value of the cutting force  
$F_{ci}(\phi)(q)$ N Actual value of the cutting force per tooth for the i-th tooth  
$F_{ci}(\phi)(q)$ N Mean value of the cutting force per tooth  
$F_{ci}(\phi)$ N Actual value of the force in the X-axis of the dynamometer coordinate system  
$F_{ci}(\phi)$ N Actual value of the force in the Y-axis of the dynamometer coordinate system  
$f_t$ mm Feed per tooth  
$h_0$ mm Undeformed chip thickness  
$h_0(\phi)(q)$ mm Actual value of the undeformed chip thickness for the i-th tooth  
$h_0(\phi)$ mm Actual value of the undeformed chip thickness of the straight part of the cutting tool edge  
$h_{O2}(\phi)$ mm Actual value of the undeformed chip thickness of the rounded part of the cutting tool edge  
$k_c$ N/mm² Specific cutting force  
$k_c(\phi)(q)$ N/mm² Actual value of the specific cutting force for the i-th tooth  
$k_{c1}$ N/mm² Specific cutting force per 1 mm² (h=b=1mm)  
$k_{c1,1}$ N/mm² Specific cutting force per 1 mm² (h=b=1mm)  
$K_{fs}$ - Correction factor of the tool flank wear  
$m_{e}$ - Empirical constant (impact of undeformed chip thickness on the specific cutting force)  
$m_{e}$ - Empirical constant (impact of cutting speed on the specific cutting force)  
$m_{es}$ - Empirical constant (impact of cutting speed on the specific cutting force)  
$P_i$ W Cutting power  
$R$ mm Radius in the proposed mathematical model  
$r_c$ mm Corner radius  
$s$ N Standard deviation  
$T$ min Time of reaching the tool flank wear  
$VB$ µm Tool flank wear  
$v_c$ m/min Cutting speed  
$v_{c,eff}$ m/min Cutting speed which was used to obtain the material constants  
$Z$ - Number of teeth of the selected cutting tool
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