# INCREASE OF THE EFFICIENCY OF THE PRODUCTION LINES FOR THE SPINNING OF INORGANIC NANOFIBERS BY THE ELECTROSTATIC FIELD INTENSITY OPTIMIZATION

Michal Petru<sup>1</sup>, Ondrej Novak<sup>2</sup>, Petr Lepsik<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Technical University of Liberec, Institute for Nanomaterials Advanced Technologies and Inovation, Liberec, Czech Republic
 <sup>2</sup>Technical University of Liberec, Faculty of Textile Engineering Department of nonwovens, Liberec, Czech Republic
 <sup>3</sup> Technical University of Liberec, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Department of Machine Elements and Mechanism Liberec, Czech Republic

e-mail: michal.petru@tul.cz, petr.lepsik@tul.cz, ondra.novak@tul.cz

Increase of the intensity of the electrostatic field may be carried out in different ways, for example by increasing the charge or changing the environment conductivity. Higher overall intensity does not necessarily lead to an increase of productivity of nanofibers, because it is influenced also by the distribution of the intensity of the electrostatic field. Optimization efforts for a productivity increase of currently designed lines producing inorganic nanofibers is a very complex problem that depends on the distance of electrodes, voltage value, characteristics and type of the polymer solution, humidity, ambient temperature and other parameters. These parameters affect the potential and electric field intensity. Electrostatic field distribution is influenced by the design of reservoir filled with polymer solution. Geometric design and used construction material obviously affect the final intensity. For the assessment of distribution of electrostatic field in the design of production lines FEM simulation models have been created. FEM simulations were done for various material relative permittivity and design of the reservoir. For the comparison of the simulations and the real behavior the functional model of the reservoir was constructed and tested in a production line working on the Nanospider principle. Increase of the intensity of electrostatic field can be achieved by utilization of the suitable material of the reservoir.

# Keywords

electrostatic field, intensity, potential, nanofibers, FEM, relative permittivity

# 1. Introduction

Electrospinning is one of the production processes, which is able to manufacture nanofibers. Electrospinning is the process of spinning using electrostatic forces, which are generated between oppositely charged electrodes. Among them is spinnable substance (polymeric solution or melt) with appropriate parameters, which is after charging attracted to the opposite electrode. This substance creates a fibre that may be partially or completely drawn. Their diameter is usually between 50 and 800 nm. Productivity of electrospinning was too low until the discovery of Nanospider method, which brings a significant improvement. This method does not use needles or tips, therefore it is called needless electrospinning. In this method the Taylor cones are formed directly on the surface of the polymer solution. It is





Figure 1. Principle of Nanospider technology

high voltage electrostatic field [Tsai 2011]. The corresponding FEM model allows to monitor, evaluate and compare processes which are very difficult to measure [Dekys 2012, Petru 2010, Petru, 2012] and to optimize existing equipment or production process according to the simulation.

# 2. Material and methods

# 2.1 Theory

The description of the potential distribution and the electric field intensity in the electrospinning technology using principle of spinning from the roller is very difficult and practically immeasurable. A certain possibility is to establish a model simulation in FEM environment. The FEM model can be typically arranged: the electrode – polymer solution – reservoir – the external environment – closed box, which is shown in Figure 1.

# 2.1.1 Mathematics and physics description

The electric field can be approximately defined as limiting force acting on unit charge by the equation 1.

$$E = \lim_{q \to 0} \frac{F}{q} \tag{1}$$

where *E* expresses the electric field, *F* is acting force, *q* is unit charge. The energy of electrostatic field  $W_{e}$  can be subsequently defined by the work done by moving a point charge *q*'from  $\infty$  to the distance *r* from point charge *q* by the equation 2. This formulation is based on the Gauss theorem of electrostatics by the equation 3. By subsequent derivation of the volume V can be expressed as the volumetric energy density of electrostatic field (Eq. 4).

$$W_E = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \phi_j q_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \frac{q_i q_j}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_{ij}} \text{ for a single charge } W_E = \frac{1}{2} \frac{qq'}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r}$$
(2)

$$W_E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} div(\phi D) dV - \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} D(\operatorname{grad} \phi) dV$$
(3)

$$\frac{dW_E}{dV} = \frac{1}{2}DE \approx \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 E^2$$
(4)

where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of vacuum ( $\varepsilon_0 = 8,854187817 \cdot 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{F} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-1}$ ),  $\varepsilon_r$  is the permittivity of the material,  $\phi$  is the electrostatic potential gradient, D is electrical induction.

The resulting electrostatic potential  $\varphi_i$  at the place of j<sup>th</sup> charge can be expressed the energy of the electrostatic field described by the equation 5.

$$\varphi_j = \sum_j \frac{q_i}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_{ij}}$$
 or for a single charge  $\varphi = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r}$  (5)

The resultant intensity of electrostatic field as negative gradient of potential between electrodes according to the relation (6) can be determined from value of electrostatic potential on positive and negative electrode.

 $E(r) = -\operatorname{grad} \varphi(r)$ 

## 2.2 FEM model describing the intensity and distribution of electric field

The model was created in Comsol Multiphysics in module AC / DC Electrostatics, which is focused on modeling of the electric fields and elastic fields in piezoelectric materials. This software contains a wide range of tools for a solving various problems described by partial differential equations by finite element method (in isotropic and anisotropic environments). It allows modeling of the vector distribution of electrostatic potential and to determine the approximate stress intensity based on the equations 1 – 6. Evaluation of the effect of various initial and boundary conditions can be utilized in the optimization of the construction design of the polymer reservoir in the production line. The potential distribution and electric field intensity can be studied in iso-surfaces, therefore a 2D model with the geometric dimensions of the real line, reservoir and electrodes was made with input voltage at the positive electrode 60 kV and a negative electrode 0 kV (Fig. 2). The model was proposed with adaptive networking with the accent on local densification of elements (Fig. 3). The finite element mesh was created from 2D cubic axisymetric elements (12-node elements). For sufficiently accurate solution in geometrically complicated areas (radius, corners) and areas with assumed highest intensity of electrical field minimal size of elements 0,03 mm was created.



Figure 2. FEM model

Figure 3. Adaptive meshing of the elements

Material properties of particular construction and technology elements of the line with Nanospider technology were taken from material sheets of manufacturer. For the analysis of the electrostatic field is required only one material property – relative permittivity. Values applied in the model simulations are shown in Table 1.

Material	Relative permittivity [-]
Reservoir (PP beige)	2,3
Reservoir (Steel)	1
Polymeric solution	81,6
Box – cover	3,5
External environment – air	1,00054

Table 1. Physical parameters of the material in FEM simulation

#### 2.3 Experiment

For the assessment of the production efficiency of nanofibers two designs of reservoirs were compared. Namely the existing reservoir from polypropylene (PP beige) which mechanical properties are characterized by high stiffness, good tensile strength and especially resistance to acids, alkalis and solvents with a relatively low density  $\sim 0.900\pm 6~{\rm g.cm^{-3}}$  and the function model of the reservoir from thin wall austenitic stainless steel (CSN EN 10 027–1: X5CrNi 18–10), which is resistant to acids and solvents. The construction design of both reservoirs is shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4. a) Reservoir (PP beige), b) Reservoir (Steel)

The experiment for an assessment of the spinning process was carried out on the real line of Elmarco Company (Fig. 4).



Figure 5. Production of nanofibers by the Nanospider methode

## 3. Results

The potential distribution (Fig. 6 to 7) is very similar for both constructions, so it can be assumed that the proposed construction of metal reservoir will be functional in the process of spinning. The results of the intensity distribution are shown in Figure 8 and 9. The intensity was expressed in units statvolt/cm (1statvolt = 299,793 volt). The





**Figure 8.** FEM design (PP beige): Intensity



**Figure 9.** FEM design (Steel): Intensity

maximum value of electric field intensity is in the case of the current structure of PP beige. The simulation results shoved  $\sim$  321 statvolt/cm for PP and the value of  $\sim$ 297 statyolt / cm for the metal reservoir. The difference is 21.5 statvolt / cm. Thus the current version has about 7% higher value of the maximum intensity of electrostatic field. The relative permittivity of the environment is a constant describing the proportion of the material permittivity and the permittivity of vacuum. The value of the relative permittivity in the spinning chamber is directly influenced by the type of the polymeric solution, air humidity, and the type of electrode. [Komarek 2010, Angammana 2011]. Experiment for comparison of preceeding and new design of bath reservoir was performed, but it couldn't determine the intensity of electrostatic field. In both cases the productivity was comparable. In the presented paper the homogeneity of the production is compared. The model analysis was performed with current and optimized design of the reservoir in the range of relative permittivity from the value of 0.1 to 3.5 (plastic materials have a relative permittivity in the range 1,8-4 and metallic materials is  $1 \pm 0.3$ ) to define the effect of the applied material relative permittivity. This gradually stepwise changing value of the relative permittivity showed different trends (Fig. 10).

The resulting electric field intensity for the value of the relative permittivity of 0.6 is in the case of (PP beige) shows  $\sim$  290 statvolt/cm and in the case of the metal the maximal intensity is  $\sim$  321 statvolt/cm. Thus the difference is  $\sim$  31 statvolt/cm. The optimized design shows 11 % higher intensity.



**Figure 10.** The maximum electric field intensity depending on the design of reservoirs with different relative permittivity

#### 4. Conclusions

This article deals with the optimization of the electrostatic field distribution in the construction of the line producing inorganic nanofibers. One possibility is the modification of the current design of the reservoir from polypropylene (PP beige), which serves as a carrier of the electrode and especially as a storage of the polymeric solution for electrospinning "from the roller" - which is known as the Nanospider technology. For this purpose, the simulations of the potential and electric field intensity distribution in the process of spinning in the environment of the finite element method were performed. The resulting analysis showed that the intensity of electrostatic field is significantly influenced by the relative permittivity of the material and also by the design of the reservoir geometry. From obtained results it can be concluded that for lower values of the relative permittivity of the reservoir material (0.2 to 0.5) would be advantageous to increase the intensity of the electrostatic field by the use of thin wall construction. Similarly for the higher values of permittivity (2 - 3.5) would be beneficial to use a thicker construction of the reservoir. Currently, the functional model is tested in Elmarco company, and other functional models from various materials with different values of the relative permittivity are prepared.



## Acknowledgements

The research reported in this paper was supported in part by the Project OP VaVpI Centre for Nanomaterials, Advanced Technologies and Innovation CZ.1.05/2.1.00/01.0005 and by the Projects MPO FR-TI1/451, MPO FR-TI3/845 which has been financed by The Ministry of Industrie and Trade of the Czech Republic and Project Development of Research Teams of R&D Projects at the Technical university of Liberec CZ.1.07/2.3.00/30.0024 and the project of CREATex (CZ.1.07/2.2.00/28.0321), which has been financed by Europen Social Fund and The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic.

## References

[Angammana 2011] Angammana, C. J., Jayaram S. H. Effects of Electric Field on the Multi-jet Electrospinning Process and Fiber Morphology. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, March-April, 2011, Vol. 47, Issue 2, pp 1028 – 1035. ISSN 0093-9994

**[Dekys 2012]** Dekys, V., Broncek, J. Measuring strain of the lattice towers. Communications – Scientific Letters of the University of Zilina, Vol.14 (3), pp 39-42, 2012. ISSN 1335-4205

[Huang 2011] Huang, S. H., Chien, T. C., Hung, K. Y. and Chung, Y. C. Selective Deposition of Electrospun Alginate-Based Nanofibers onto Cell-Repelling Hydrogel Surfaces for Cell-Based Microarrays. Current Nanoscience, April, 2011, Vol. 7, No. 2 (8), pp 267–274(8). ISSN 1573-4137

**[Komarek 2010]** Komarek, M., Martinova, L. Design And Evaluation Of Melt-Electrospinning Electrodes. In: Proceedings of 2nd NANOCON International Conference, Olomouc, October 12–14, 2010, pp. 72-77. ISBN 978-80-87294–19–2

[Liang 2010] Liang, D. et al. Mathematical Model of Electric Field Distribution at a Critical State in Bubble Electrospinning. Journal of Fiber Bioengineering and Informatics, September, 2010, Vol 3. No. 2., pp. 117–120. ISSN 1940-8676

[Petru 2010] Petru, M.; Novak, O. Mechanical properties measurement and comparison of polyurethane foam substitute. ACC Journal, 2010, Vol.16, Issue A, Natural Sciences and Technology, pp. 50-59. ISSN 1803-9782

[Petru 2012] Petru, M., Novak, O., Herak, D., Simanjuntak, S. Finite element method model of the mechanical behaviour of Jatropha curcas L. seed under compression loading, Biosystems Engineering. April, 2012, Vol. 111, Issue 4, pp. 412-421, ISSN 1537-5110

**[Tsai 2011]** Tsai, C.C. et al. Nanoporous artificial proboscis for probing minute amount of liquids. Nanoscale, November, 2011, Vol. 3(11), pp 4685-4695. ISSN 2040-3364

**[Vejrych 2012]** Vejrych, D., Sevcik, L. Assessing the distribution of deformation in layers in 3D nanostructures spinning into another space. In: Proceedings of 5<sup>th</sup> International Mechanical Engineering Forum, Prague, June 20–22, 2012. Prague: Czech University of Life Sciences, pp. 962-970. ISBN 978-80–213–2291–2

[Wang 2007] Wang, X. et al. Investigation of Dielectric Strength of Electrospun Nanofiber Based Thermal Interface Material. In: Proceedings of High Density packaging and Microsystem Integration, HDP '07, 26–28 June, 2007, pp.1-6. ISBN 978–1-42441–252-5

# Contacts

Ing. Michal Petru, Ph.D. Technical University of Liberec Institute for Nanomaterials Advanced Technologies and Inovation Studentska 1402/2, Liberec, 461 17, Czech Republic tel.: +420 777 996 636, +420 485 353 313 michal.petru@tul.cz