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Abstract

Deep hole drilling is a metal cutting method for producing primary cylindrical deep bores with a length-to-diameter ratio larger than l/D = 10. Due to the increasing interest of different branches of the industry in inner contoured workpieces, the Institute of Machining Technology (ISF) and the BGTB GmbH developed a chamber boring system, which allows to contour bores holes in axial and radial directions. This paper presents the influence of different cutting speeds, feeds and workpiece materials on the contour accuracy and the mechanical tool loading.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The use of inner contoured tubes made of metallic materials with circular and non-circular profiles offers a great economical and ecological potential for a large number of industrial sectors [Biermann 2018]. The most important users are the aviation industry as well as the oil industry. The huge advantage for the aviation industry is the weight reduction of landing gears for aircrafts compared to massive components [Buse 1994]. Moreover, the inner contoured tubes with non-circular profiles can be used for the oil exploration as a stator in mud motors. Mud motors, which are named after their creator “Moineau”, are based on the inverted functioning of a progressive cavity positive displacement pump and are mainly used in drill-heads for the gas exploration as shown in Fig. 1a).

![Twist contoured bore](image)

**Fig. 1: Possible usage of the non-circular profile [Fuß 2016].**

The screw shaped rotor and the hollow stator with a non-circular cross section are the most important parts of the Moineau-motor as shown in Fig. 1b) and Fig. 1c) [Baker Hughes 2002]. While it is possible to machine the screw shaped rotor, the non-circular cross section of the hollow stator consists often of elastomer (Fig. 1c, left), especially for smaller diameters (D < 75 mm). Only for very large diameters a metallic base profile with a thin elastomer layer is possible. Stators with a metallic basic profile and equipped with an elastomer layer have an efficiency enhancement up to 100% compared to elastomer profiles [Maurer 2000, Fuß 2016].

According to the current state of technology, it is not economical to produce the required metallic base profile in smaller diameters with standard machining processes [Denkena 2016, Heisel 1989]. Other potential manufacturing processes such as tube drawing are very time-consuming, cost-intensive and inflexible [Bottos 2003]. In response to the increasing demands on long tubes with a complex inner contour, the chamber boring system was developed.

2 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

2.1 Material

The materials used for the chamber boring experiments were two steel alloys (42CrMo4+QT/AISI4140, C60/AISI1060) and one aluminum alloy (AlMgSi0,5/EN AW-6060). The round bars had a diameter of D = 80 mm a length of l = 250 mm and were pre-drilled and honed with a bore diameter D_b = 46 mm and a tolerance range H7 [DIN EN ISO 286-1:2019-02]. The mechanical properties of the materials are displayed in Tab. 1.
2.2 Components of the chamber boring system

The three main components of the chamber boring system are the drill head, the special boring bar and the tool-/slide-drive. The drive is located on a console which is mounted to a deep hole drilling machine Giana GGB 560 (Giuseppe Giana s.r.l, Magnago, Italy) at the ISF in Dortmund (Fig. 2). Due to the modular construction the deep hole drilling machine is not impaired in its original function. The console holding the complete drive and the gearbox, for the gear reduction of the feed f, is mounted on the back of the tool slide and is attached to the casing bar and the internal oscillating pole. The main function of the drive is to transfer the rotary movement of the tool spindle into a translational extension movement. The movement is transmitted by a drive pulley on which a rail-guided plate is mounted.

The drive pulley in Fig. 3a) is connected to the tool spindle and rotates as the drill head does. On the drive pulley, the slide plate is mounted on ball rail guides so that it can only move along these guides during rotation. The cam roller shaft is connected to end of the guided slide plate with an adjustable claw. This shaft is the connection to the profile shown in the cam disk in Fig. 3b). The cam roller is guided through the profile during a rotation of the drive pulley. Since the slide plate and the cam roller are connected, the slide plate covers the radial difference, between two sequential points of the profile.

The coupling of the cam disk to the feed motor via the gearbox generates the pitch of the profile. The cam disk, which embodies the shape of the profile to be produced, is rotated once per \( h = 500 \text{ mm} \) of feed travel.

The adjustable claw converts the translational motion to oscillating motion and transmits it to the oscillating pole. An excenter, connected to the oscillating pole inside the drilling head, alternates the positioning of the cutting edge. An adjustable mechanism located at the claw adjusts the generated stroke. The process is being executed by pulling the tool through a honed bore, in which the tool is guided and supported by its guide pads.

Due to the constant change of the diameter, the cutting speed \( v_c \) varies throughout the entire process. Fig. 4 shows the position of the cutting edge in the profile and the resulting velocity components. The resulting cutting speed \( v_{res} \) is composed of a constant and a variable speed share.

The second parameter that affects the cutting speed \( v_c \) is the rotation speed of the tool spindle. The spindle speed is limited to 125 min\(^{-1}\). The reason for the limitation is justified by the mechanical drive concept. The cam disk is enlarged by a factor of three compared to the nominal workpiece contour to ensure a reliable transmission of the process forces. Fig. 5 shows the curve of the resulting acceleration of the rail guided plate for one chamber and a rotation speed of 80 min\(^{-1}\). The black arrows in the image illustrate the direction and amount of the resulting acceleration at the respective time. The maximum accelerations are at the inflection points of the profile, where the cam follower roll moves from the concave area to the convex area of the profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>AISI 4140</th>
<th>AISI 1060</th>
<th>EN AW-6060</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R_m ) in MPa</td>
<td>900 – 1100</td>
<td>&gt; 670</td>
<td>≥ 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{0,2} ) in MPa</td>
<td>≥ 650</td>
<td>≥ 340</td>
<td>≥ 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness in HB</td>
<td>≤ 255</td>
<td>≤ 241</td>
<td>≥ 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density in ( \text{kg/m}^3 )</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat treatment</td>
<td>Quenched &amp; tempered</td>
<td>Normalized</td>
<td>Precipitation hardened</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1: Mechanical properties of the test materials [Saarstahl 2019a, Saarstahl 2019b, Delta 2019].

![Fig. 2: Chamber boring system adapted to the Giana GGB 560 (Fuß 2016).](image)

![Fig. 3: Tool-/slide-drive with cam disk (Fuß 2016).](image)

![Fig. 4: Cutting speed \( v_c \) in relation to the stroke.](image)
Fig. 5: Resulting acceleration $a_{\text{res}}$ of the rail guided plate.

Fig. 6 shows the maximum resulting velocity and maximum resulting acceleration for different spindle speed. The increase of the spindle speed causes a linear increase of the velocity and a quadratic increase of the acceleration. Due to the high moving masses and the high accelerations at the rail guided plate, an increase of the tool spindle speed causes an enormous increase of the mechanical loads at the drive.

Fig. 7: Coordinate measuring machine with clamped profile sample.

2.3 Cutting tools

Three different cutting tools were used during the tests. All cutting tools were custom-made by Pokolm (Pokolm Frästechnik GmbH & Co. KG). The details of the cutting edges used are shown in Tab. 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>K10</td>
<td>K10</td>
<td>K10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating</td>
<td>Polished rake face</td>
<td>PVTi</td>
<td>PVTi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edges</td>
<td>rounding</td>
<td>rounding</td>
<td>chamfer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) edge length ($l_c$), thickness ($s$), corner radius ($r_c$)

2.4 Measurement of the non-circular cross section area of the profile

Seven parameter variations with a length of $l = 10$ mm were performed in one sample. Due to the pitch of the profile, undercuts result in the probe, which made the measurements with the coordinate measuring machine more difficult. Because of this, the samples were sawed into $l = 10$ mm thick discs so that one disc corresponds to one test. These discs could be measured on the Zeiss Prismo portal measuring device (Carl Zeiss AG) as shown in Fig. 7.

The cross-section area of the profile was calculated through the measured contour. To obtain the surface produced by the chamber boring system the area of the pre-drilled diameter ($D_B = 46$ mm) was subtracted from the cross-section area. The resulting profile surfaces were used to estimate the influence of the process-parameters on the machined profiles in chapter 3.1.

2.5 Measurement of tool load

The tool load was determined by measuring the torsion of the oscillating pole and the casing bar with strain gauges. Due to the coupling of the pole and the bar and the rotation of the tool spindle, a wireless data transmission was required. The applied measurement technology is shown in Fig. 8.

The measuring signal was transmitted via a Wi-Fi to a measuring computer and could be displayed during machining, as shown in Fig. 8a). In order to realize a Wheatstone bridge, the strain gauges were mounted at an angle of $\alpha = 45^\circ$ to the drilling axis and orthogonal to each other as seen in Fig. 8b). In order to calculate the conversion factors, the tool spindle was locked and defined torques were applied onto the casing bar and the oscillating pole.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Influence of the process parameters on the machined profiles

Influence of the cutting tool

In Fig. 9, the measured contours and the calculated profile areas are displayed in dependence of different cutting tools. Furthermore, the calculated profile surfaces are compared to a theoretical target profile area that was calculated using the measured stroke and the contour of the cam disk.
Spindle speed: \( n = 75 \text{ min}^{-1} \)
Feed: \( f = 0.075 \text{ mm} \)
Oil flow rate: \( V = 90 \text{ l/min} \)

Tool: varied
Stroke: \( x = 5 \text{ mm} \)
Material: EN AW-6060

The largest difference in profile area was linked to the material. Target profile area and EN AW-6060 profile area varied about \( \Delta A = 80 \text{ mm}^2 \) (15 %) as Fig. 9 showed before. A variation of the feed \( f \) had a minor influence on the machined aluminium surface profiles. Machining steel results in even lower profile areas than machining aluminium. For AISI 4140 the lowest profile are identified at a feed \( f = 0.125 \text{ mm} \) with \( \Delta A = 140 \text{ mm}^2 \) (25 %) difference to the target profile area. AISI 1060 shows the lowest profile area at a feed \( f = 0.1 \text{ mm} \) with \( \Delta A = 150 \text{ mm}^2 \) (27 %) difference to the target profile area. Differences in tensile strength and hardness between the steel alloys and the aluminium are most likely the reason for the different deviations to the target profile area. Since these properties affect the occurring process forces which could deflect the tool path from the nominal tool path.

Increasing the feed \( f \) while machining AISI 4140 resulted in a slight reduction of the profile area. Starting with a feed of \( f = 0.05 \text{ mm} \) and a profile area of \( A = 440 \text{ mm}^2 \) the profile area gradually decreased. Increasing the feed up to \( f = 0.125 \text{ mm} \) resulted in a profile area of \( A = 410 \text{ mm}^2 \), a reduction of 7 \%. The feed \( f \) affects the resulting cutting force as well, which may have led to a deflection of the tool path.

**Influence of the cutting speed**

The cutting speed \( v_c \) is not constant due to the stroke, as described in chapter 2.2. Because of this characteristic, the constant spindle speed was used as the characteristic parameter. Tab. 3 shows the spindle speed \( n \) and the corresponding cutting speed range.

**Tab. 3: Process spindle speeds and corresponding cutting speed ranges**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n ) in ( \text{min}^{-1} )</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v_c ) in ( \text{m/min} )</td>
<td>10...12</td>
<td>15...18</td>
<td>21...24</td>
<td>26...30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diagram shown in Fig. 11 compares the calculated profile areas for each spindle speed and material with the target profile area. It was not possible to generate reliable measurements for the highest spindle speed in AISI 4140 due to tool failure.

**Fig. 9: Plotted contour and profile area in dependency of the cutting tool.**

**Fig. 10: Calculated profile area for varied feeds and different materials.**

**Fig. 11: Calculated profile area for varied spindle speeds and different materials.**

The diagram shows no direct trend or relationship between the calculated profile area and the feeding speed. The strong influence of the machined material is also confirmed in this series of tests.
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3.2 Influence of the process parameters on the process forces

Influence of the material

Torque measurements at the casing bar and the oscillating pole were conducted while machining the profile. Fig. 12 shows the torque of the two bars in the unloaded state and while machining different materials. The examined process time was \( t = 2 \) s corresponding to 1.5 revolutions. Both diagrams show the measured and the smoothed curves of the experiments. In contrast to the unloaded state, the measured torques on the casing bar are significantly higher than the torques on the oscillating pole. Therefore, it is necessary that the casing bar and the oscillating pole are represented using different moment scales.

The oscillating course of the curves originated form the varying depth of cut due to the stroke results in varying cutting forces, which cause an unsteady torque.

The torque on the oscillating pole showed significant differences, depending on the material. There were only minor differences between the unloaded state and the test with aluminium. The maximum torque variation \( \Delta M = 10 \) Nm was the same for both measurements.

Between the aluminium and the steel alloys a difference in torque variation was measured. While machining AISI 1060 the torque variation increased to \( \Delta M \approx 15 \) Nm and for AISI 4140 to \( \Delta M = 18 \) Nm due to the higher strength. The higher torque variations resulted from the higher process forces, which are generated during the machining of the steel materials. Both measuring records were wave-shaped. The peaks were also higher due to the higher minima and maxima. The measurements of the casing rod differ considerably in the loaded and unloaded condition. While the average value of the measurement record in the unloaded condition were just above \( M_t = 0 \) Nm, the average value increased while machining aluminium to \( M_t = 20 \) Nm. For the steel alloys the average value increased to \( M_t = 40 \) Nm. The reason for the increase of the torque are higher cutting forces, due to material properties. The cutting force was applied through the casing rod, in the form of the torque.

Influence of the feed

The diagrams in Fig. 13 are, as shown in the evaluation before, composed of several parts. The \( x \)-axis is divided into ranges which corresponds to the individual feeds. The examined process time was \( t = 1 \) s corresponding to 1.25 revolutions.

The measuring record of the casing bar differs in the torque variation. At a feed of \( f = 0.05 \) mm, the torque variation was \( \Delta M = 120 \) Nm with an average torque of \( M_t = 30 \) Nm. Each increase in the feed \( f \) also increases the range of variation and the average torque. At the highest feed of \( f = 0.125 \) mm the highest torque variation and average torque were 3.320
measured $\Delta M_t = 155 \text{ Nm}$ and $M_t \approx 42 \text{ Nm}$, respectively. The increase in the feed leads to an increase in the cutting force, which resulted in a greater torque acting on the casing bar.

The measuring records of the oscillating pole appear less noisy due to the increase in feed. However, the torque variation did not change due to the increase in feed. All measuring records had a torque variation of $\Delta M_t = 25 \ldots 30 \text{ Nm}$ and an average torque slightly above $M_t = 0 \text{ Nm}$.

**Influence of the cutting speed**

The cutting speed $v_c$ changes continuously despite constant spindle speed $n$. Fig. 14 shows the measured torque while machining with varying spindle speed $n$. The defined diagram areas for the spindle speeds represent one revolution of the tool.

![Graph showing measured torques depending on different spindle speeds.](Image)

On the casing bar, no significant influence of cutting speed $v_c$ was determined. The average torque was $M_t = 27 \pm 2 \text{ Nm}$ in all four measurements. At $n = 50 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and $n = 125 \text{ min}^{-1}$, torque variation was $\Delta M_t = 77 \pm 2 \text{ Nm}$. For the speeds $n = 75 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and $n = 100 \text{ min}^{-1}$, higher torque variation $\Delta M_t = 90 \pm 2 \text{ Nm}$ were determined.

With increasing spindle speed $n$ or cutting speed $v_c$, the torque variation increased significantly. With a spindle speed of $n = 50 \text{ min}^{-1}$, a torque variation $\Delta M_t = 18 \text{ Nm}$ was measured. During the speed increase to $n = 75 \text{ min}^{-1}$, $n = 100 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and $n = 125 \text{ min}^{-1}$, the higher torque variations were measured to $\Delta M_t = 20 \text{ Nm}$, $\Delta M_t = 29 \text{ Nm}$ and $\Delta M_t = 42 \text{ Nm}$, respectively. At the same time, the average torque was oscillating around $M_t = 0 \text{ Nm}$ in all measurements. The increasing torque variation, most likely, resulted from the dynamic behaviour of the oscillating pole and of the tool-/slide-drive. Due to the increasing spindle speed the speed and acceleration of the rail guided plate increase as shown in chapter 2.2. The rail guided plate is connected to the oscillating pole and thus the angular momentum of the oscillating pole increases. This led to a rise in torsion in the oscillating pole.

4 **SUMMARY**

In the first part of the studies, the influence of the process parameters on the machined profile was examined. It was shown that a cutting tool with a protective chamfer is necessary for steel machining. The results of the test series in EN AW-6060 showed no difference in the profile area for different cutting tool geometries. For an increasing feed, a decrease of the profile area was shown. The test series with varied spindle speed did not show any trend between the cutting speed $v_c$ and the profile area. In addition, it could be demonstrated that the material has the greatest influence on the resulting profile.

The results show, that increasing torques leads to smaller profile surfaces, most likely because of tool path deviation. After the exact adjustment of the process, it is possible to machine workpieces with a drilling depth of 2400 mm. It was necessary to replace the cutting tool after a drilling depth of 800 mm to ensure a safe process. Due to the detailed analyses, a restart of the process could be realized. This process is very difficult to carry out due to the pitch of the workpiece and the torsion of the boring bar. The red mark in Fig. 15 shows the area of the restarted process.

![Diagram showing chamber bored workpiece with two tool changes after $l_1 = 800$ mm and $l_1 = 1600$ mm drilling depth.](Image)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material:</th>
<th>AISI 4140</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oil flow rate:</td>
<td>$V = 90 \text{ l/min}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed:</td>
<td>$f = 0.075 \text{ mm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting speed:</td>
<td>$v_c = 11.56\ldots14.32 \text{ m/min}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter:</td>
<td>$d = 46.2\ldots56.6 \text{ mm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational speed:</td>
<td>$n = 80 \text{ min}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilling depth:</td>
<td>$l_1 = 2400 \text{ mm} (3 \times 800 \text{ mm})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profile pitch:</td>
<td>$P = 500 \text{ mm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machining time:</td>
<td>$t_m = 3 \times 134 \text{ min} = 6.7 \text{ h}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 15:** Chamber bored workpiece with two tool changes after $l_1 = 800$ mm and $l_1 = 1600$ mm drilling depth.
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